

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLANADEC 14 AM 10: 26

GEORGE D. METZ II PRO SE	Garantafast	Sawa Chull Care
(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)	Case No	in by the Clerk's Office) Yes No (check one)
-against- Ofc. Michael Smith Varnville S.C. PD		
(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)		

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	GEORGE D. METZ II PRO SE
Street Address	4980 SE 140th ST
City and County	Summerfield, Marion County
State and Zip Code	Florida 34491
Telephone Number	352-446-8145
1010011011011001	

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1 Ofc. Michael Smith Varnville S.C. PD Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address 95 E Palmetto Ave City and County Varnville, Hampton county South Carolina 29944 State and Zip Code (803) 943-2979 Telephone Number Defendant No. 2 Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number Defendant No. 3 Name

Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number	
Defendant No. 4	
Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number Basis for Jurisdiction	
types of cases can be heard in federal involving diversity of citizenship of under the United States Constitution Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in we State or nation and the amount at states.	urisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two al court: cases involving a federal question and cases the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another aske is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship ase, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State
What is the basis for federal court ju	risdiction? (check all that apply)
☐ Federal question	☐ Diversity of citizenship
Fill out the paragraphs in this section	n that apply to this case.
A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction	ı Is a Federal Question
List the specific federal state States Constitution that are a 42 USC 1983	at issue in this case.

II.

B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship

1.	The Plaintiff(s)	
	a.	If the plaintiff is an individual
		The plaintiff, (name), is a citizen of the State of (name)
	b.	If the plaintiff is a corporation
		The plaintiff, (name), is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name),
		and has its principal place of business in the State of (name)
2.	page	ore than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.) Defendant(s)
2.		
	a.	If the defendant is an individual
		The defendant, (name), is a citizen of
		the State of (name) Or is a citizen of
		(foreign nation)
	b.	If the defendant is a corporation
		The defendant, (name), is
		incorporated under the laws of the State of (name), and has its principal place of
		business in the State of (name) Or is
		incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation)
		business in (name), and has its principal place of
	add	nore than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an itional page providing the same information for each additional
	defe	ndant.)

3.	The	Amount	in	Controversy
J.	1 110	1 XIIIOUIIU	111	Commorcias

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant
owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest
and costs of court, because (explain):

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

Ofc Smith violated my 1st, 4th and 5th amendment rights as well committing prior restraint
and 1st amendment retaliation.
Statement of Claim attached.

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

Punitive damages, two hundred thousand dollars \$200,000

This was a willful and knowingly violation of my rights, I had the law in my hand and he refused to read or understand it. When asked to provide statute numbers of the laws he was claiming applied, he refused to do so.

V. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

For Parties Without an Attorney A.

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where caserelated papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

2023

	Date of signing: Dec 8th	_, 20 23 .
	Signature of Plaintiff Printed Name of Plaintiff	GEORGES. METZ II
В.	For Attorneys	
	Date of signing:	, 20
	Signature of Attorney	
	Printed Name of Attorney	
	Bar Number	
	Name of Law Firm	
	Address	
	Telephone Number	
	E-mail Address	

- George Metz is of legal age and a U.S. citizen. He resides in Summerfield, Florida.
 He is an independent Journalist/Activist.
- 2. Defendant Officer Michael Smith was employed by the City of Varnville as a police officer at all times relevant to the allegations in this complaint and is a resident and citizen of the state of South Carolina.
 - 3. The City of Varnville is a South Carolina municipality.
 - 4. Defendant Ofc Michael Smith is sued in his individual capacity only.

Facts

- 5. On 5-26-22 the plantiff George Metz was in Varnville to record the public employees of the Hampton County SC Health Department.
- 6. Having arrived close to the end of day, there was no citizens in the lobby. Within 2 minutes of me entering the building, I was accosted and told I was not allowed to film.
- 7. At 7 minutes into the video (exhibit 1) I walked outside to continue filming through the window as they were closing for the day.
- 8. At 8:27 in the video Ofc Michael Smith arrives and tells me that I am not allowed to record in the building.
 - 9. At around 8:56 Ofc Smith states that i need to show him something that says i can record or else i have to leave or face arrest.
- 10. At 9:10 in the video Ofc Smith instructs me to shut down my video recording, Lucky for me that my bodycam was running and he didn't notice it or else i wouldn't of been able to catch his later violations.

- 11. At 11:33 in the video he threatens me with arrest if I dont provide physical ID.
- 12. At 13:45 in the video he threatens me with arrest for taking the 5th and not answering his questions.

CLAIM I - 42 USC 1983 - 4TH AMENDMENT CLAIM

Ofc Michael Smith did unlawfully seize my ID without reasonable or even arguble reasonable suspicion that a crime had been committed as the trespassing statute doesnt apply to public property

CLAIM II- 42 USC 1983 - 1ST AMENDMENT CLAIM

Ofc Michael Smith violated my 1st amendment right by forcing me to leave a public area that I was legally allowed to be at, for recording.

CLAIM III - 42 USC 1983 - 5TH AMENDMENT CLAIM

Ofc Michael Smith violated my 5th amendment right by threaten me with arrest if I didn't answer his questions, after i invoked my right to remain silent.

CLAIM IIII - 42 USC 1983 - PRIOR RESTRAINT CLAIM

Ofc Michael Smith did violate my rights when he ordered me to cease recording of his unlawful activities and commands.

CLAIM IIIII - 42 USC 1983 - 1ST AMENDMENT RETALIATION CLAIM

It is clear by his actions and words that these violations occured only due to the fact that I was engaged in exercising my 1st amendment right of free press. Had I not been exercising my rights, I have no doubt i would of not been kicked out, forced to ID, or threatened with arrest for not answering questions.

Dated: October 27th, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s George Douglas Metz II</u> Pro Se George Douglas Metz II Pro Se 4980 S.E. 140th st

Summerfield FL 34491 Phone: 352-446-8145

Email: patriotdiscussions@gmail.com

Jury Demand

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

s/ George Douglas Metz II Pro Se